UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING	DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/533,515	05/02/2005		Gerald Eckert	DE02 0245 US 4545		
65913 NXP, B.V.	7590	11/16/2007		EXAMINER		
•	ECTUAL PR	ROPERTY DEPA	PATEL, NIRAV B			
M/S41-SJ 1109 MCKAY	DRIVE			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
SAN JOSE, CA 95131				2135		
				NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
				11/16/2007	ELECTRONIC	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

ip.department.us@nxp.com

			$\langle \mathcal{I} \rangle$			
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	0			
	10/533,515	ECKERT ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Nirav Patel	2135				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period was reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin rill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 Au	<u>igust 2007</u> .					
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) ⊠ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar			merits is			
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 4	53 O.G. 213.				
Disposition of Claims						
4) Claim(s) 1,3-6 and 8-15 is/are pending in the a	pplication.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) <u>1,3-6 and 8-15</u> are subject to restriction	on and/or election requirement		•			
6) Claim(s) 1,3-6 and 6-15 are subject to restricted	on and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	•					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	•		⊇ 1 121/d\			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.						
			- -			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119) (d) == (f)	ı			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(a) or (1).				
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents		ion No				
3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			Stage			
application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).					
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.						
			÷ .			
		, ,				
Attachment(s)	A M 1-4	√DŤO 442\				
Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	4) 🔀 Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D	ate				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	Patent Application				
S. Patent and Trademark Office	-, <u>-</u>					

10/533,515

Art Unit: 2135

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicant's submission for RCE filed on Aug. 29, 2007 has been entered. Claims

1, 3-6, 8-15 are pending. Claim 2 and 7 are canceled and Claims 1, 6 and 10 are

amended by the applicant. Claims 11-15 are newly added claim by applicant.

2. The Office would like to notify the Applicant that there has been a change in the

Examiner to conduct the future examination and prosecution processes of the currently

pending application.

3. During the telephone conversation with Mr. Wilson Mark A., on 10/30/07,

Examiner indicated to the applicant a possible restriction due to various embodiments in

the claims and potential 101 issue associated with claims 1, 6, 10, and 11. Prior to

directing the 101 issue, applicant is advised to elect one group for examination based

on the election/restriction presented in the office action.

Election/Restrictions

4. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct

species:

a. Species 1:

Claims 1, 3-5, 6, 8-9, 10, 14, 15.

b. Species 2:

Claims 11-13

10/533,515

Art Unit: 2135

5. The Species are independent or distinct because each of the various disclosed Species details specific characteristic of the following:

a. a method of detecting point correspondences between a first set of points and a second set of points, comprising the following steps: finding of possible matching pairs, finding a maximum number of matching pairs, further comprises combining of two matching pairs..., determining an affirm map for each tuple, determining an affine map for each tuple, sorting of the plurality of tuples...., creating a search tree..., deriving a matching result from the search tree.

b. a method of detecting point correspondences between a first set of points and a second set of points, comprising the following steps: finding of possible matching pairs, finding a maximum number of matching pairs, determining a matching rate and a hypothesis rate, forming a two-dimensional result space in which the match rate is entered along a first dimension and the hypothesis rate, finding a point in the two-dimensional result space, forming a cluster in the result space, finding a matching result on the basis of a distance of the point from the cluster.

- 6. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claims are generic.
- 7. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must includes an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing

10/533,515

Art Unit: 2135

of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument

that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive

unless accompanied by an election.

8. Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration

of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations

of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.411. If claims are added after

the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02 (a).

9. Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must

include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even thought the

requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims

encompassing the elected invention.

10. The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To

reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not

distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the

election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

11 Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not

patentably distinct, Applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now or

record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the

record that this is the case. In either instance, if Examiner finds one of the inventions

unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection

under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

10/533,515

Art Unit: 2135

ı

Page 5

12. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected

invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one

or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim

remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by

a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

13. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Nirav Patel whose telephone number is 571-272-5936.

The examiner can normally be reached on 8 am - 4:30 pm (M-F).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor. Kim Vu can be reached on 571-272-3859. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the

Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for

published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should

you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a

USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information

system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272/1000.

NBP

10/5/07

SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER